

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

In the Matter of:)	
)	
ELECTRONIC SERVICE OF CASE)	Administrative Order
DOCUMENTS BY)	No. 2014 - <u>23</u>
AZTURBOCOURT)	(Affecting Administrative
)	Order Nos. 2011-140 and 2012-2)
)	

Administrative Order No. 2011-140 requires all attorneys to e-file post-initiation civil case documents in the Superior Court in Maricopa County through the judiciary's electronic filing system, AZTurboCourt. Similarly, Administrative Order No. 2012-2 requires all attorneys to e-file all documents in the Supreme Court and Court of Appeals, Division One, through AZTurboCourt, with specified exceptions. Since 2011, AZTurboCourt has reliably e-delivered documents to the courts in more than 300,000 e-filing transactions. Since August 22, 2013, AZTurboCourt users have electronically served their pleadings and other case documents on opposing counsel who consent as provided in Rule 5(c)(2)(D).

Electronic service through AZTurboCourt has furthered the efficiencies gained from e-filing and has proven to be a reliable method of service by immediately delivering documents to opposing counsel and providing a record of receipt. During the last quarter of 2013, a significant number of pleadings have been successfully served electronically using AZTurboCourt. For these reasons, the Court has decided not to require consent by attorneys for receipt of electronic service of pleadings and other documents through AZTurboCourt.

Therefore, pursuant to Article VI, Section 3, of the Arizona Constitution,

IT IS ORDERED that the following provisions are adopted to govern electronic service of any paper that is not required to be personally served in all case types for which AZTurboCourt is available in the Supreme Court, Court of Appeals Division One, and the Superior Court in Maricopa County:

1. Any attorney of record may be electronically served through AZTurboCourt on or after March 8, 2014.
2. Service is complete upon transmission, and an additional five calendar days shall be added to any period of time prescribed by these rules to do some act or initiate some proceeding.

3. Any provisions of this Order that are inconsistent with the Arizona Rules of Court shall supersede procedural requirements of the rules.

Dated this 24th day of February, 2014.

FOR THE COURT:

REBECCA WHITE BERCH
Chief Justice